Determination of Lead, Tin, and Silver Content in Ancient Bronze Coins via Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry SAINT ANSELM

Elsa Villanueva and Dr. Mary Kate Donais

Saint Anselm College, Department of Chemistry, 100 Saint Anselm Drive, Manchester, NH 03102

Introduction

Metal composition analysis has become one of the leading methods of determining the provenance of ancient corroded coins. Comparison of the concentration values obtained with previously published values aided in the identification of the coins. Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) was chosen in analyzing the coins because although a destructive technique, it gives a better idea of the whole composition of the coin compared with surface measurements. Missing data were identified for lead and tin data sets. Acid digestion of coins followed by FAAS analysis was performed; external calibration was used for the lead analysis, while standard addition calibration was used for the tin analysis. Correlation coefficient for lead was found to be 0.99496, and the correlation coefficients for tin were found to range between 0.99000 and 0.99996. The silver method detection limit was found to be 0.00014% (1.4 ppm) by weight in bronze, which was deemed sufficient for the study requirements. The linear dynamic range for lead, tin, and silver was also established. It was determined that the FAAS gave a linear response between 0 ppm and 48 ppm for lead, 0 ppm to 200 ppm for tin, and 0 ppm to 12 ppm for silver.

Instrumentation

- •SCP Science DigiPREP Jr. digestion system with 50-mL volumetric digestion vessels
- •Thermo Elemental S Series Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer

Results

| Coin | Experimental | | | Literature | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------|------|
| | % Pb | % Sn | % Ag | % Pb | % Sn | % Ag |
| 1 | 1.847 (6) | 4.452 (2) | 0.0614 (3) | 1.76 | 4.72 | 9.18 |
| 2 | 1.4507 (6) | 0.615 (2) | 0.0328 (8) | 1.58 | 0.48 | 0.16 |
| 3 | 0.2421 (6) | < 0.029 (2) | 0.0245 (8) | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| 4 | 1.7204 (6) | < 0.029 (2) | 0.0465 (8) | 1.57 | 0.02 | 0.16 |
| 5 | 9.2821 (4) | - | 0.0462 (7) | 9.79 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| 6 | 13.826 (2) | 5.495 (2) | 0.0752 (4) | 13.86 | 7.28 | 0.04 |
| 7 | 8.171 (2) | 0.205 (2) | 0.1068 (2) | 8.59 | 0.54 | 0.21 |
| 8 | 18.01 (2) | 2.44 (2) | 0.0505 (2) | 17.41 | 2.64 | 0.27 |
| 9 | 27.692 (2) | 0.525 (2) | 0.1524 (2) | 25.25 | 1.74 | 0.99 |
| 10 | 6.825 (2) | 3.157 (2) | 0.0910 (2) | 6.46 | 3.97 | 1.49 |
| 11 | 19.789 (2) | 4.668 (2) | 0.0395 (2) | 20.36 | 5.23 | 0.07 |
| 8 Fall '06 | 11.31 (2) | - | 0.083 (2) | 11.47 | 1.30 | 0.50 |
| 9 Fall '06 | 24.51 (2) | - | 0.25 (2) | 25.24 | 2.4 | 0.00 |
| 10 Fall '06 | 7.08 (2) | 0.56 (3) | < 0.02 (2) | 6.60 | 0.65 | 0.00 |
| 11 Fall '06 | 17.73 (2) | 1.92 (2) | < 0.042 (2) | 16.75 | 2.47 | 2.25 |

| Coin | L.H. Cope Values | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Mint | Obv Leg | Reverse | Ruler | | |
| 1 | ROME | GALLIENVS AVG | IOVIS STATOR | Gallus | | |
| 2 | UNMARKED | IMP CARAVSIVS P F AVG | PAX AVG | Carausius | | |
| 3 | ROME | - | - | VESP | | |
| 4 | ALEX | GAL VAL MAXIMINVS NOB CAES | CONCORDIA MILITVM | DAIA | | |
| 5 | IMITATION | DIVO CLAVDIO | CONSECRATIO, eagle | Cladius II | | |
| 6 | IMITATION | - | - | Domitian | | |
| 7 | ARLES | DN GRATIANVS AVGG AVG | GLORIA NOVI SAECVLI | GRAT | | |
| 8 | CYZ | DN CONSTANTIVS P F AVG | FEL TEMP REPARATIO phoenix, g | Cs2 | | |
| 9 | Trier | DN CONSTANS P F AVG | FEL TEMP REPARATIO hut | Cn | | |
| 10 | Ost | IMP C MAXENTIVS P F AVG | FIDES MILITVM AVG N | Maxt | | |
| 11 | ROME | IMP C M Q TRAIANVS DECIVS AVG | DACIA S C | T DEC | | |
| 8 Fall '06 | ROME | DN FL CL CONSTANTINVS NOB CAES | FEL TEMP REPARATIO fh | Gallus | | |
| 9 Fall '06 | CYZ | DN CONSTANTIVS P F AVG | SPES REIPVBLICE | Cs2 | | |
| 10 Fall '06 | Cons | DN THEODOSIVS P F AVG | VOT X MVLT XX | Th1 | | |
| 11 Fall '06 | Trier | DN CONSTANS P F AVG | FEL TEMP REPARATIO galley | Cn | | |

Conclusions

COLLEGE

- •Coin matching agreed nicely with published literature values.
- •Table 2 shows the proposed identity of all coins based on lead, silver, and tin content.
- •A coin database was developed in collaboration with Prof. Traynor from the Computer Science Department.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Mary Kate Donais for all of her patience and guidance throughout this project. I would also like to thank Dr. David George for providing the coins for the development of this research. I would also like to thank Prof. Traynor for helping me develop the coin database. Furthermore, I would like to thank Gregory S. Whissel, Ashley Dumas, Kristen Frano, Kathleen Golden, and Cindy Lebel for the basis of the research.

References

- 1. Dumas, A. Quantitative Spectroscopic Characterization of Ancient Roman Bronze Coins. Saint Anselm College, Chemistry Dept Senior Thesis, Manchester, NH, 2004.
- 2. Donais, M. K.; Whissel, G.; Dumas, A.; Golden, K., Analyzing Lead Content in Ancient Bronze Coins by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. An Archaeometry Laboratory with Nonscience Majors. *Journal of Chemical Education* 2009, 86, (3), 343.
- 3. Lebel, C. Determination of Tin in Ancient Coins via Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. Saint Anselm College, Chemistry Dept Senior Thesis, Manchester, NH.
- 4. Golden, K. Investigation to Improve Digestion Method for Bronze Coin Analysis. Saint Anselm College, Chemistry Dept Senior Thesis, Manchester, NH, Fall 2006.
- 5. Cope, L. H., King, C.E., Northover, J.P., Metals Analysis of Roman Coins Minted Under the Empire,
 British Museum Occasional Paper Number 120; The British Museum, 1997.